28

1	LINDA M. ROSS (SBN 133874)			
2	lross@publiclawgroup.com   GEOFFREY SPELLBERG (SBN 121079)			
3	gspellberg@publiclawgroup.com SPENCER J. WILSON (SBN 266938)			
4	swilson@publiclawgroup.com ANASTASIA BONDERCHUK (SBN 309091)			
5	abonderchuk@publiclawgroup.com RENNE PUBLIC LAW GROUP® 350 Sansome Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 848-7200 Facsimile: (415) 848-7230			
6				
7				
8	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
9				
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	TATVANIA LITVINOVA individually and an	Case No. 3:18-CV-0	1404 DC	
13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1494-K5	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION RE		
15	v.	SCHEDULING AND FILING OF CROSS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORDER AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT		
16	CITY AND COUNTY OF			
17	SAN FRANCISCO,			
18	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	March 8, 2018 TBD	
19	Pursuant to this Court's direction at the November 18, 2021 Case Management Conference,			
20	Defendant City and County of San Francisco (the "City") and Plaintiffs Tatyana Litvinova, et al. hereby			
21	submit the below stipulation and proposed order regarding case scheduling and filing of cross-motions			
22	for summary judgment. The City and plaintiffs in the related case of Kristen Silloway, et al. v. City and			
23	County of San Francisco., N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:20-cv-07400-RS are concurrently filing an identical			
24	stipulation and proposed order in that case.			
25	The parties agree that all motions for summary judgment will be filed in accordance with a cross-			
26	motion briefing schedule, defined below, so Defendant may file a single motion seeking summary			
27	judgment in both cases. If Plaintiffs in this case and/or Silloway move for summary judgment, Defendant			

should be permitted to file a consolidated opposition to those motions. Any brief or memorandum of

points and authorities filed by the *Litvinova* plaintiffs in support, opposition, or reply to a motion shall be subject to the page limitations of this Court's Local Rules (25 pages for motions and opposition briefs and 15 pages for reply briefs) unless the parties make a timely request in order to increase the page limitation. Defendant City shall have an increased page limitation due to the fact Defendant will be filing consolidated briefs addressing two cases. Defendant's page limitations for summary judgment briefing shall be 40 pages for motions and opposition briefs and 25 pages for any reply brief, unless the parties make a timely request in order to increase the page limitation.

Additionally, pursuant to the Court's direction to extend all court deadlines by 90 days, the parties submit the below case schedule for approval by the Court. The Court will not approve any further extensions of the non-expert discovery deadlines. Starting with the close of discovery, the case schedule will be as follows:

Feb. 18, 2022	Close of non-expert discovery
Mar. 18, 2022	Deadline to designate expert witnesses, FRCP 26(a)(2)
Apr. 15, 2022	Deadline to designate supplemental and rebuttal experts, FRCP 26(a)(2)
May 6, 2022	Deadline to complete expert discovery, FRCP 26(b)(4)
May 26, 2022	Motions for summary judgment due
June 16, 2022	Summary judgment opposition briefs due
June 30, 2022	Summary judgment reply briefs due
July 14, 2022	Hearing on motions for summary judgment due
July 14, 2022	Deadline to hear all pretrial motions
Aug. 31, 2022	Final Pretrial Conference
Sep. 13, 2022	Trial

The Parties respectfully request that the Court approve the Parties' above stipulation regarding case scheduling and filing of cross-motions for summary judgment.

## Case 3:18-cv-01494-RS Document 90 Filed 12/17/21 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: December 17, 2021	RENNE PUBLIC LAW GROUP®		
2				
3		By: <u>/s/Spencer J. Wilson</u>		
4		Spencer J. Wilson		
5		Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
6		CITT MIND COOKITT OF SMINTKINGISCO		
7	Dated: December 17, 2021	PROMETHEUS PARTNERS		
8				
9		By: /s/Eduardo G. Roy		
10		Eduardo G. Roy		
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs TATYANA LITVINOVA, et al.		
12				
13				
14		ODDED		
15	ORDER			
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND THIS COURT'S PRIOR DIRECTION, IT IS SO			
17 18	ORDERED.			
19	Dated: December 17, 2021	Wild Sealer		
20	Dated. Secomosi 17, 2021	RICHARD SEEBORG Chief United States District Judge		
21		Cinci Office States District Juage		
22				
23				
24				
- '				
25				
25				
25 26				